1	K23AADUPC	Conference	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2	LINITED CTATES OF AMEDICA		
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
4	V.		19 CR 444 (RMB)
5	JOHN PIERRE DUPONT,		
6	Defendant		
7		x	
8			New York, N.Y. February 3, 2020
9			11:30 a.m.
10	Before:		
11	HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN,		
12			District Judge
13			
14	APPEARANCES		
15	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the		
16	Southern District of New York  ALEX ROSSMILLER		
17	ASSISTANT United States Attorney  ZAWADI BAHARANYI  Attorney for Defendant Dupont		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

K23AADUPC Conference

(Case called)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

So, I take it we don't have Mr. Dupont here and maybe could just for the record hear from defense counsel, how he is doing and where he is just so we know.

MS. BAHARANYI: Yes, your Honor.

Zawadi Baharanyi, Federal Defenders, on behalf of Mr. Dupont.

He is currently at Kingsbrook Jewish Medical Center.

That's where he's been since about mid-November, your Honor.

In terms of how he is doing, we have limited records. At this time, we've only received sort of records from MCC based off — and those records are really reporting, they are conversations with Kingsbrook, although, not wholly, not completely. My understanding from MCC records and from my visit with him, he is still fairly frail. He lost a tremendous amount of weight. Although, I believe some of that he is starting to gain some of that back. I think more concerning is there's the possibility that he has leukemia. He is currently awaiting the results of a bone marrow to confirm that, to confirm or deny it.

Also, there was recently a mass found in, I guess the area around his throat, still awaiting results on that.

Generally, he is a older gentleman.

THE COURT: I forget. How old is he?

MS. BAHARANYI: Mr. Dupont is 83-years-old. I'm

K23AADUPC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He is 81-years-old. He is turning 82 this year. part of, some of his difficulties are as well are related to age but there do seem to be some potentially serious health issues that we are trying to get information on, your Honor. We did subpoena Kingsbrook a few weeks ago, asked them to return records at the beginning of last week, have followed up with them and still they've been quite unresponsive. We did not want to raise that with your Honor to see if there might being some added pressure the Court could put on them. We would like to see those records. I know that could affect how this case goes forward. But those are the updates that we have so far, somewhat limited information because of the inability to get much information from the hospital.

THE COURT: You don't speak to him?

MS. BAHARANYI: I have seen him. So, I could tell you in terms of how he looks, he is -- your Honor, I don't know if you recall seeing him in November. He was fairly thin at the time. He lost more weight since then. He lies in bed pretty much the entire time, has sort of very limited movement. understanding that he is seeing a psychologist about every day or every other day. And part of the records that we want are those records as well from Kingsbrook. But he seems to be an older gentleman with health issues from my layman's perspective and just to fill-in some of the gaps in information that we really do want and need those records from Kingsbrook.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: OK.

MS. BAHARANYI: I wish we had a more complete update but we are still waiting.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. ROSSMILLER: Your Honor, I think that's generally consistent with the government's understanding as well. I should say that Ms. Baharanyi's been in touch with the government. We have had I think a productive open line of communication about Mr. Dupont's status. It does seem that there are some tests that are outstanding for him.

But just to step back sort of on the flip side it general, Mr. Dupont was arrested originally in March of last year. So, coming up on a year ago. He then, I think in particularly spry fashion, managed to evade the government after jumping bail for approximately seven months. He took a number of steps that were fairly sophisticated. He drop his phone. He got a new phone number. He traded in his money for gold. He drove to different areas. He rented a U-Haul. Told the U-Haul he would be returning it in Seattle and then some weeks later dumped it on the roadside in Dallas. He was pretty cognitively fit during that time and certainly moving about. understand he does have legitimate health problems and potentially serious problems that are being tested for. also entirely possible he is unhappy to be incarcerated but we do think this case is ready to be scheduled out.

I understand there may be things that affect how the

case potentially resolves itself. Certainly, if defense

counsel is looking to put in a submission to the government,

those types of things are ripe now. So, the government was

hearing November, asked the Court for a schedule for motions

and trial, the Court wanted the government to complete

substantially completed discovery. We've produced I think any

discovery before we did that. So, the government has now

motions that would -- I'm sorry -- we've produced the sole

warrant that I think would give rise to a motion. I think I believe in December we substantially completed discovery two

12 weeks ago.

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, this case is trial ready, your Honor, and we'd like to keep moving forward without prejudice of course to reconsidering if there is something that prevents were

Mr. Dupont from meaningfully contributing to his own defense or if there is a resolution. But otherwise, I think we've been spending some months sort of treading water and we'd like to move forward.

MS. BAHARANYI: I think his health issues are documented. I think we are still in the process of getting some of that documentation. But we know from what we have received from MCC is that he is ill enough that he's been hospitalized for now at this point two months.

We are asking for the ability to come back, your

Honor.

THE COURT: So, there would almost certainly be a diagnosis before is somebody is hospitalized for two months stay; no?

MS. BAHARANYI: So, part of his hospitalization seems to be testing to see what exactly he does suffer from. I think he does have diagnoses related to diabetes, to kidney function.

THE COURT: If one isn't feeling well and you go to the hospital, it's unusual that you would stay there for two months if you don't have some serious workup. Who funds the hospitalization?

MS. BAHARANYI: Don't quote me on this, your Honor. I would believe MCC or the government. I mean, he was sent there from the MCC.

THE COURT: Is that right? Is he in a prison ward?

MR. ROSSMILLER: I don't know specifically the answer to that question, your Honor. I assume he is under some sort of guard, particularly, having jumped bail previously.

MS. BAHARANYI: Your Honor, he is under 24/7 U.S. Marshal Service watch.

MR. ROSSMILLER: It may be more straightforward for an elderly individual to be sort of overseen in a hospital setting than in a prison setting. I don't know the specific details of that. We have been in touch with BOP about his care and we understand that he was initially treated for I think the term

was failure to thrive and then was undergoing some testing. I don't know if there is a schedule to have him go back in.

But in any event, I think, certainly, the government has no problem with defense counsel getting this information but it doesn't have any bearing on the charges that he's faced with or the trial or any other substantive --

THE COURT: It does have a bearing for me on setting a trial date or in taking the next step. I wouldn't do it unless I knew what the evaluation is and what the prognosis is and when his doctors believe he could be released. All that information I would want before I'd make any next step.

MR. ROSSMILLER: A couple things. The first is, my understanding is until defense counsel requested the waiver of Mr. Dupont's presence was that the marshals would have been able to and were expecting to produce him from the hospital. So, there is nothing that prevents him from being in court other than sort of his health convenience comfort level and I don't say that in a negative fashion, your Honor, but it wasn't necessary for him to be here today but he certainly could have been, based on my understanding from the marshals. So, any motions and trial date would be weeks and almost certainly months in the future. We may have more information but I would prefer not to do and would ask the Court to avoid is we were here in November. There was a question about his health. We waited three months to come back. There were more questions

K23AADUPC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

about his health. I would prefer, if we can avoid it, to not be setting months out trial dates months from now in the hope that everything will be resolved quickly. So, I think the government would have no problem adjourning dates but just to get things rolling in terms of reaching a conclusion, it may be helpful to have some dates.

THE COURT: Yes. One of the rare occasions where I disagree with you.

MR. ROSSMILLER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, really it's too amorphous to me. And there must be a diagnosis. There must be a record. must be an explanation for why someone who is a prisoner is in a hospital for two months and stays there. Usually, they want the bed or you know. So, there has to be some medical explanation and I also would like some medical prognosis about when someone could with his condition whatever it is, could safely be tried or whatever or when are they thinking of returning him to the jail? It is quite unusual. Two months is a pretty long time for a hospital stay.

Anyway, as soon as you get me that and that could be in the form of a written communication letter supported by documentation from the hospital, I'd be ready to go. So, when that information is available I would ask you both to meet and confer and come up realistically with a schedule for this case.

MR. ROSSMILLER: That sounds fine, your Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: I will set an interim date just so I don't lose track of things. I'll set a sort of a placeholder date of April 7 at 9:30 for a status but I'm happy to try and accelerate that date or have a more realistic schedule based on our conversation and what you find out.

But in the meantime, is there speedy trial issue or application that takes us to April 7?

MR. ROSSMILLER: Yes, your Honor. The government asks to exclude speedy trial time until April 7 in the interests of justice. As I mentioned, the government has completed discovery. That period of time will allow defense counsel to continue to review discovery for the parties to have discussions about a possible disposition.

THE COURT: I'm going to find under 18 U.S.C. Section 3161 that the request for a adjournment joined in my both sides to and including April 7, 2020, is appropriate and warrants exclusion of the adjourn time from speedy trial calculations.

I further find that the exclusion is designed to prevent any possible miscarriage of justice, to facilitate these proceedings including, specifically, a further investigation and report to the Court about Mr. Dupont's physical condition and his physical condition prognosis and to guaranty effective representation and preparation by counsel for both parties. And thus, the need for exclusion and the

K23AADUPC Conference

ends of justice outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 3161(H)(7)(A) and (B).

So, I'll be on the lookout for some sort of maybe a joint letter or letter from one of you indicating that the information that we discussed and what we both think about the next steps and the timing in this case.

MR. ROSSMILLER: Will do that, your Honor.

Thank you

MS. BAHARANYI: Just one last issue. I think we did subpoena Kingsbrook. We think it might be helpful to have a court ordered or court signed subpoena. If our office could request one from you so that that might speed up the process for us getting those documents?

THE COURT: Sure. I'm happy to sign-off. The government may be able to assist you in the interim. But if you submit a subpoena for me to so 'so order', I'll be happy to do it.

MS. BAHARANYI: Thank you, your Honor. We'll do that this week.

THE COURT: Thanks a lot.

MR. ROSSMILLER: Thank you, your Honor.

(Adjourned)